

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

EAC-99-034-52975 EAC-99-232-50491 Office:

Vermont Service Center

Date:

JAN 2 9 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Petition:

Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER.

C. Mulrean, Acting Director Aministrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on motion. The case will be remanded for further consideration.

On July 30, 1999, the petitioner filed a motion to reopen. According to 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(ii), jurisdiction over a motion resides in the official who made the latest decision in the proceeding. Because, in this case, the disputed decision was rendered by the director, the Administrative Appeals Office has no jurisdiction over this motion and the case must be remanded to the director for a decision pursuant to the regulations governing motions to reopen.

ORDER: The petition is remanded to the director for further action in accordance with the foregoing.